



## Terms of Reference

### Chirundu

## Joint Cross-Border Water Supply and Sanitation Project (Zambia/Zimbabwe)

Consultancy Services to carry out

Pre-feasibility and Feasibility Studies

## Terms of Reference Appendix II

Funding criteria for the regional fund for water infrastructure

*August 2018*

Principal Criteria	Indicators
Pro-poor impact of project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- At least 50 % of beneficiaries are poor (living below national poverty line)</li> <li>- At least 50 % of employment creation of the project for poor population segment</li> <li>- Drinking water quality meets WHO standard</li> <li>- Reduction of cost for water supply</li> </ul>
%Regional value added+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Project providing drinking and irrigation water to beneficiaries on both sides of a national border or another specific regional justification</li> <li>- Positive transboundary impacts on water quality</li> <li>- Likelihood of strengthening transboundary water resources management coordination mechanisms</li> <li>- Contribution to the maintenance of transboundary ecosystem integrity and biodiversity including marine and estuary life</li> <li>- Increase of disaster preparedness of the region by improving ability to prevent and predict drought and flooding</li> </ul>
IWRM Impact including environmental aspects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Project part of an integrated national or regional Water Master Plan</li> <li>- Integrated project concept including water supply, sanitation, water resource protection and productive utilisation of water resource</li> <li>- Environmental benefits attributable to the project including climate change mitigation and adaptation (in case of material environmental stress mitigations according to German Development Cooperation standards are obligatory)</li> <li>- Community acceptance, public understanding and awareness</li> <li>- Strategy for communication with stakeholders</li> </ul>
Project concept	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Technical quality of project design</li> <li>- Organisational quality of project set-up</li> <li>- Dynamic cost per m<sup>3</sup> as compared with similar projects</li> </ul>
Capacity of project sponsor (executing agency)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Quality of business processes</li> <li>- Quality of technical and non-technical standards</li> </ul>
Economic/social impacts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Value of agricultural production resulting from the project</li> <li>- Employment created by the project</li> <li>- Reduction of water-borne diseases by X %</li> </ul>

<b>Principal Criteria</b>	<b>Indicators</b>
	- Contribution to gender equality
Demonstration value	- Representativeness of project (how many other potential projects that are very similar are there)
Financial framework	- Investment cost per capita - Operational cost per m <sup>3</sup> - Financial participation by project sponsor (10 % of project cost compulsory) - Sustainability