



Food, Agriculture and Natural Resources (FANR) Directorate
SADC Secretariat

Implementation and Coordination of Agricultural Research and Training in the SADC Region (ICART)



European Commission
9th EDF

SADC-ICART FORM FOR THE EVALUATION OF EXPRESSIONS OF INTEREST FOR SUPPORTING NETWORKING ACTIVITIES

PART 1: COMPLIANCE TO STATED CRITERIA (ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLIANCE)		
Has the form been correctly filled according to the stated guidelines?	Yes	No
Does the networking activity involve at least 3 SADC member countries?	Yes	No
Are the service providers eligible for European Development Funds? (refer to the request for expressions of interest)	Yes	No
(A "No" in any of the above questions may lead to disqualification of the request for further consideration)		
PART 2: TECHNICAL COMPLIANCE		
INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT AND/OR COMMITMENT		10
Is there sufficient indication of institutional support and/or commitment of the partners in the proposed networking activity (interest, communication, partner details, framework etc.)? <i>(A track record is desirable but new initiatives will also be considered)</i>		10
SCOPE AND RELEVANCE OF PROPOSED NETWORKING ACTIVITY		30
How relevant is the activity to the priority thematic areas stated in the guidelines?		5
Is the title clearly stated and does it sufficiently reflect the purpose of the initiative?		5
How clearly is the context of the proposed activity described?		5
Is the problem to be addressed by the proposed networking activity clearly identified and analysed?		5
How logical is the purpose and objective of the proposed activity described?		5
How clearly is the target group and/or beneficiaries in the proposed activity identified?		5
APPROACH OF THE PROPOSED NETWORKING ACTIVITY		35
How clearly is the proposed approach stated? (structure, logical sequence, time frame)		10
How practical is the proposed approach?(resources, infrastructure, time-space)		5
How consistent is the proposed approach with objective, purpose and expected output of the proposed networking activity?		10
How clearly are the roles and synergies of the networking partners stated?		5
To what extent are the cross-cutting issues addressed in the approach?		5
BUDGET FOR PROPOSED NETWORKING ACTIVITY		10
How realistic is the itemised budget for the proposed networking activity?		10
EXPECTED OUTPUTS OF THE PROPOSED NETWORKING ACTIVITY		15
How well can the expected outputs be measured? (e.g. meetings, number of manuals, training provided etc.)		5
How well are the potential spill-over effects stated?		5
How clearly is the potential for the sustainability of the networking process demonstrated?		5
TOTAL SCORE		100
CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES (The assessor is requested to pay attention to the following aspects of the request:		

Innovation	Yes	No
Gender issues (mainstreaming, responsiveness)	Yes	No
HIV/AIDS issues	Yes	No
Ethics (culture, religion, social acceptability etc.)	Yes	No